

Application of self-absorption correction method in gamma spectroscopy for ^{210}Pb and ^{137}Cs sediment chronology on the continental slope off NW Africa

D. Pittauerová¹, S. Mulitza², B. Hettwig¹, W. Chehade¹, G. Mollenhauer², J.-B. Stuu², H. W. Fischer¹

¹Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Germany
²MARUM, University of Bremen, Germany

Introduction

Climatological background

Life in the semiarid Sahel belt of tropical North Africa strongly depends on the availability of water and has been repeatedly affected by shifts to more arid climate. The most recent drought occurred in the early 1970's and 1980's, with partial recovery during the late 1990's. High resolution fluvial sediments off Senegal offer the opportunity to study the history of the Sahel drought and to assess its imprint on the composition of terrestrial materials deposited at the sea floor, if the material can be accurately dated on historical time scales.



Sediment chronology

With the background of the upper mentioned project, we present ^{210}Pb and ^{137}Cs data from the high resolution multi-core GeoB9501-4 recovered during METEOR-Cruise M65/1 on the continental slope off NW Africa (Senegal Mudbelt, northern rim of Mauritanian Canyon, depth 330 m). The uppermost 50 cm of the multi-core has been used for improving routine technique of ^{210}Pb and ^{137}Cs sediment chronology in the Radioactivity Measurement Laboratory of Bremen University.

Self attenuation

Since attenuation of emitted low-energy gamma radiation in voluminous bulk samples is an obstruction for determining ^{210}Pb (46.5 keV, I_γ 4.25%) quantitatively by means of gamma-spectroscopy, self-absorption correction must be taken into account. Two basic approaches have been applied for solving the problem of self-attenuation in volume samples: experimental [1, 2] and mathematical – using Monte Carlo simulations [3]. The approach combining both experimental measurements and mathematical MC simulations was proposed by other authors [4, 5].


Methods

Gamma spectroscopy

Hardware: coaxial HPGe detector Canberra Industries (50% rel. efficiency) housed in a 10 cm Pb shielding with Cu and plastic lining

Software: Genie 2000 software was used for low level, low-background gamma spectroscopy.

Self-attenuation correction: applying efficiencies calculated using LabSOCS™ (Laboratory SOURCEless Calibration System), Genie 2000 software calibration tool [6], validated by self-absorption measurements of different materials.



Material test



To validate the efficiency calibration generated by LabSOCS, a transmission experiment was realized for different absorbers.

Emitters: point sources of gamma energies of 46.5 keV (^{210}Pb) and 661.6 keV (^{137}Cs) with reported activities (Buchler) on a holder 15 cm above the absorber, which was placed directly on the detector (Fig. 1).

Absorbers: five different materials with various chemical composition and densities, all of them sealed in cylindrical plastic containers (round dishes) with diameter of 70 mm and height of 20 mm (the same containers were used for sediment samples).

Material	Bulk density [g·cm ⁻³]	Composition
Air	0.00	
Water	1.00	
Wax	0.96	15% H, 85% C
Sea sand	1.40	50% quartz, 50% K-feldspar
Milled limestone	1.77	CaCO ₃

Figure 3. Experimental setup

Sample geometry modelling and efficiency calibration file generation: Geometry Composer feature of the Genie 2000 software. The efficiency for given energies are estimated by LabSOCS for characterized detector based on MCNP modelling code upon description of a sample container, absorber matrix, and a specific source-to-detector distance.

The efficiencies were used for analyzing gamma-spectra of the point sources using experimental setup with above listed absorbers and estimating their activities using software Genie 2000. The gamma spectra of absorbers were collected separately and were subtracted from spectra of transmission experiments. The calculated activities of point sources were compared to their reported activities (Fig. 2). The experiment showed that activities estimated using LabSOCS generated efficiencies are within the overall error produced by Genie2000. It is planned to apply the same setup for direct determination of the sample's self absorption.




Figure 4. Real relative activities of a ^{210}Pb (a) and a ^{137}Cs (b) point gamma test sources compared to activities estimated by measurement of their activities using absorbers of different chemical compositions and densities. The efficiencies were generated by LabSOCS.

Treatment and measurement of the sediment samples

Wet sediment slices from each 1 cm interval of multi-core GeoB9501-4 were put into plastic round dishes with diameter of 70 mm and height of 20 mm, the containers were filled with the samples into different heights. For determination of $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{exc}}$ activity, $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{sup}}$ activity (determined via the 351.9 keV line of ^{214}Pb after establishing of Rn progeny equilibration) was subtracted from the $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{total}}$ signal. The spectra were analyzed using efficiencies generated by LabSOCS for different sample geometries and constant sediment composition.

^{210}Pb and ^{137}Cs dating

^{210}Pb chronology: The age of the core has been estimated using CRS model [7]. The model assumes a constant rate of supply of unsupported ^{210}Pb to the sediment per unit time and considers a variable sedimentation rate resulting from human activity. Absolute ages were calculated with assumption the uppermost slice of the core corresponds to 2005 AD.

^{137}Cs : is present in the sediments due to the global fallout after nuclear bomb testing. It first appeared in the atmosphere in 1945 and peaked in 1963 at the northern hemisphere and can be therefore used for additional calibration of the age.

Results and conclusions




Figure 5. ^{210}Pb and ^{137}Cs data of gravity core GeoB9501-4

According to the ANNEX C of the UNSCEAR report [8], the total amount of ^{137}Cs deposited from 1945 to 2000 in latitudinal band of 10-20 degrees north recalculated to 2007 activities (time of the measurement) is 660 Bq·m⁻². Comparing the total activity of 150 Bq·m⁻² found in the core GeoB9501-4 to the UNSCEAR value, only 23% of expected value was preserved in the sediment record. Due to rather low ^{137}Cs values the measurement errors are relatively high and do not provide fine resolution (expected 1963 peak or contribution of geographically close 4 Algerian atmospheric tests in 1960-61). Nevertheless, the shape of the ^{137}Cs profile is compatible with the bomb fallout chronology, possibly followed by a terrestrial (erosion-produced) component.

Sedimentation rate

A mean sedimentation rate of 0.49 cm·yr⁻¹ was obtained from the investigated core with increasing trend towards present (Fig. 4). From 1920's to 1980's the sedimentation rate is rather constant: 0.42 cm·yr⁻¹, in 1990's the rate increases to an average 0.58 cm·yr⁻¹ and in the 21st Century it reaches 0.90 cm·yr⁻¹. Generally, a relatively increased recent sedimentation rate can be observed comparing to an average sedimentation rate at higher depths of an associated gravity core estimated to 0.15 cm·yr⁻¹ by ^{14}C chronology (S. Mulitza, unpublished data).




Figure 6. Sedimentation rate estimated from the $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{exc}}$ using CRS chronology model

Acknowledgement

The travelling cost were co-financed by the PIP, Physics international postgraduate school, University of Bremen.

References

- [1] Cutshall N. H., Larsen I. L. and Olsen C.R., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. **206** (1983) 309-312.
- [2] San Miguel E. G., Pérez-Moreno J. P., Polívar J. P., García-Tenorio R. and Martín J. E., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **493** (2002). 111-120.
- [3] Sima O. and Dovlete C., Appl. Radiat. Isot. **48**, (1997) 59-69.
- [4] García-Tavalera M. and Peña V., Appl. Radiat. Isot. **60** (2004) 227-232.
- [5] Hurtado S., Villa M., Manjón G. and García-Tenorio R., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **580**, 1 (2007) 234-237.
- [6] Bronson F.L., J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. **255** (2003) 137-141.
- [7] Appleby P.G. and Oldfield F., CATENA 5 (1978) 1-8.
- [8] UNSCEAR, Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation. UNSCEAR 2000 Report to the General Assembly (UNSCEAR, New York, 2000) Annex C.